


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,208	SHUKLA ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>		
(1) <u>Jyoti Chawla</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Timothy Fullin</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>15 November 2006</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>A telephone call was placed concerning the lack of action in regards to the application and was informed by applicant's representative that the applicant did not file a response in the current application.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 (Examiner/SPE Signature)			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			